

Student Evaluation of Instruction Report

Response rate: 48.3 % of 60 enrolled

Were student ratings for this report collected on the web? Yes

Date of Report: 07/29/2016

Response scale is Likert-type with "5" being high and "1" being low

	<u>N</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>N/A</u>
Instructor's preparedness, organization of material, and clarity of presentation							
1. Well organized	29	0 %	3 %	7 %	52 %	38 %	0 %
5. Instructor well prepared	29	0	3	7	41	48	0
9. Communicated subject matter clearly	29	0	3	10	41	45	0
Rapport and instructor commitment							
3. Instructor interested in teaching	29	0	3	3	24	69	0
6. Instructor interested in helping students	29	0	3	7	24	66	0
8. Created learning atmosphere	29	0	3	10	41	45	0
Students' sense of their own learning							
2. Intellectually stimulating	29	0	3	10	48	38	0
4. Encouraged independent thinking	29	0	3	3	38	55	0
7. Learned greatly from instructor	29	0	3	14	41	41	0
10. Overall rating	29	0	0	14	28	59	0

Your ratings are summarized below. When sufficient data exist, summaries are also provided for up to three reference groups. Your "comparison group" is based on the size of your class and the predominant reason students indicate they enrolled. Comparison group data are reported at both the college and university levels. Over the prior 12 months, 2272 instructors and 5541 course sections were in your Comparison Group by College, and 3649 instructors and 8735 course sections were in your Comparison Group by University. Across all the courses using the SEI instrument since 1994, 42.71% of them share the characteristics listed below. The Course-Offering Unit listing is not based on size or electivity; it is a summary of the SEI data across the prior 12 months in your department or school.

Your comparison groups have the following qualities:

Class size: 20 to 60

Predominant reason given for enrolling in this course was that it was required in the student's major/minor or it fulfills a General Education requirement.

	This Instructor		Comparison Group by College		Comparison Group by University		Course-Offering Unit	
	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std.Dev</u>
1. Instructor well organized	4.2	0.7	4.2	0.5	4.2	0.5	4.3	0.5
2. Intellectually stimulating	4.2	0.8	4.1	0.5	4.1	0.5	4.3	0.6
3. Instructor interested in teaching	4.6	0.7	4.4	0.5	4.4	0.5	4.4	0.7
4. Encouraged independent thinking	4.5	0.7	4.3	0.5	4.3	0.5	4.4	0.5
5. Instructor well prepared	4.3	0.8	4.3	0.6	4.3	0.6	4.4	0.6
6. Instructor interested in helping students	4.5	0.8	4.4	0.5	4.4	0.5	4.4	0.6
7. Learned greatly from instructor	4.2	0.8	4.1	0.6	4.1	0.6	4.2	0.6
8. Created learning atmosphere	4.3	0.8	4.2	0.6	4.2	0.6	4.2	0.6
9. Communicated subject matter clearly	4.3	0.8	4.1	0.6	4.1	0.6	4.2	0.7
10. Overall rating	4.5	0.7	4.2	0.6	4.3	0.6	4.3	0.6

Comparison Group by University Distribution of Mean Scores on Overall Rating (Item 10)

